

Presentation Objectives

- 1. To review the concept of problem-based learning and its role in higher education;
- 2. To know the role of teachers and students in a PBL environment;
- 3. To understand components of a PBL project;
- 4. To understand the strengths and limitations of PBL as a learning method.
- 5. To understand curriculum options in implementing problem-based learning in higher ed.

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

PBL Implementation at CMMU

- Represents almost 20% of our curriculum
- Implemented over the past 6 years with over 300 students per year
- Over 35 instructors have been involved in our implementation
- We have developed 9 PBL "projects" on a variety of management problems
- All modules incorporate learning technology through either video representation of "the problem" or through simulation of the problem and solution





Problem-based Learning: A Definition

"PBL is the learning that results from the process of working towards the understanding or resolution of a problem. *The problem is encountered first in the learning process*, rather than facts or information, and serves as a stimulus and focus for problem-solving and learning."

Barrows and Tamblyn, Problem-based Learning, 1980

How Does PBL Differ From Case Teaching?

- 1. PBL starts with the problem rather than with theoretical content
- 2. Initial learning of concepts takes place in relation to solving the problem
- 3. PBL emphasizes both analysis and action or implementation of solutions
- 4. PBL always takes place in learning groups
- 5. PBL addresses the *emotions* of the workplace as well as cognitive skills

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

Problem-based Learning is not

- Problem-solving designed as an exercise for applying information previously learned in a subject-centered approach;
- Problem-solving intended to demonstrate the relevance of prior learning;
- Finding the answer to a question.

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

Competencies Obtainable Through PBL

- Adapting to and participating in change
- Making reasoned decisions in unfamiliar situations
- Reasoning critically and creatively
- Adopting a more universal or holistic outlook
- Practicing empathy, appreciating other viewpoints
- Collaborating productively in groups or teams
- Identifying own strengths and weaknesses and undertaking appropriate remediation

From Charles Engel, 1991









Research on the Outcomes of PRL

- 1. Results on most cognitive outcomes are comparable or better to those from traditional curriculum depending on the type of knowledge tested
- 2. Students enjoy the PBL curriculum more; they demonstrate higher motivation and more productive attitudes towards their learning.
- 3. Students complete their programs at a higher percentage and in less time.
- 4. PBL curricula cover less content but in more depth.

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

Summary of Key Issues in PBL

- Content coverage vs. depth
- Motivation vs. control
- Develop lifelong learners
- Students as knowledge workers
- Mix of lecture and PBL

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

References on Effectiveness of PBL

- Albanese, M., & Mitchell, S. (1993). Problem-based learning: A review of literature on its outcomes and implementation issues. *Academic Medicine*, 68(1), 52-80.
- Albanese, M. (2000). Problem-based learning: Why curricula are likely to show little effect on knowledge and clinical skills. *Academic Medicine*, 34, 729-734. Colliver, J. (2000a). The effectiveness of problem-based learning curricula: Research and Theory, *Academic Medicine*, 75, 259-266.
- Colliver, J. (2000b). Effectiveness of PBL curricula: Research and Theory, Medical Education, 34, 959-960.
- Dochy, F. et al. (2003) Effects of PBL: A meta-analysis. Learning and Instruction, 13, 533-568.
- Gijbels, D. et al. (2005). Effects of PBL: A meta-analysis from the angle of assessment. Review of Educational Research, 75(1), 27-62.
- Newman, M. (2001). How effective is problem-based learning? Education for Health, 14(2), 333-334.
- Norman, G. (2002). Research in medical education: three decades of progress. British Medical Journal, 324, 1559-1562.
- Norman, G., & Schmidt, H. (2000). Effectiveness of problem-based learning curricula: Theory, practice, and paper darts. *Medical Education*, 34, 721-28.
- Vernon, D., & Blake, R. (1993). Does problem-based learning work? A meta-analysis of evaluative research. Academic Medicine, 68(7), 592-604.





Sample PBL Project in Entrepreneurship: Retail to E-tail

- A common problem that affects many SMEs in Thailand
- Internet use for sales & marketing
- Locate the problem in several local industries
 manufacturing, education, service

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006



Retail to E-tail: Module Overview

- > You are Marketing Consultants specializing in E-Commerce solutions.
- A client is an SME struggling with how to utilize the internet to increase sales, reduce costs and increase profitability.
- You are asked to analyze its problem and produce an E-Marketing Strategy including a prototype website for the client.
- > This is not a case study!

The Problem: Muay Thai Boxing

- Promoter wishes to:
 - reach a broader audience
 - · Create a wider audience
 - Increase sales channels for variety of products
- Does not know how he can use the internet to achieve these goals

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

Retail to E-tail: Learning Objectives

- 1. Devise a situational analysis for a specific business
- 2. Formulate an E-Marketing strategy **based on the** strengths and weakness of a specific business
- 3. Implement an E-Marketing strategy based on segmentation, product customization, and personalization for a specific industry
- 4. Demonstrate understanding of how E-Marketing/E-Commerce can benefit a business

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

Module Process

- Class of 24 students (max)
- Module lasts 6 weeks including assessment
- Students form teams of 4-6 students in 1st week
- Meet weekly in/out of class to "solve the problem"
- Combination of mini-lectures, team meetings, outside research, technical lab
- Responsible for deliverables

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

REE Conference 2006 - Mahidol University



- > Progress Report (Due Week 3) group
- > Quiz (Week 5) individual
- > Reflective Essay (Due Week 6) individual
- > E-Marketing Plan (Due Week 6) group
- > Prototype Website (Due Week 6) group
- > Presentation (Week 6) group

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

** Three-hour session for Dreamweaver Tutorial Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

Retail to E-tail: Assessment> E-Marketing Plan40% Group> Prototype Website15% Group> Presentation10% Individual> Reflective Essay10% Individual> Knowledge Exam25% Individual





Students Responses

- Learn to think analytically and systematically
- Learn to work as a team, blend knowledge, delegate work, use our resources
- Learn knowledge, skills that are relevant
- Have to transform theory into practical application
- Can use what we learn at work

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006



PBL Goes Beyond Cases in Solution and Product Design and Expression





Limitations of PBL

- 1. Cannot cover as much content.
- 2. Demands new skills from teachers and students
- 3. Effectiveness depends on student motivation to read and search for resources
- 4. Requires effective and time-consuming feedback
- 5. Assessing individuals vs. groups
- 6. Can be exhausting for students

Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006

Limitations of PBL Implementation in Practice

- Requires greater skill in instructor assessment Requires much higher instructor interdependency
- Heavy time commitment on assessment

 High variance in quality/skill among

team Prof. Philip Hallinger 2006



What We Have Learned Local factors are critical in pace of implementation De Ee Ff Gg Hh li Jj KkL Keep class size under 30 Develop instructor skills, . especially in assessment Smaller instructor teams are better (< 4)

- Use continuous formative assessment of the projects
- Asian students can adjust





Assess frequently and be prepared to adjust.

